

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/437,006	11/09/99	ZHENG		Т	VLSI.268PA
_			IM22/0921	7	EXAMINER	
	CRAWFORD P		and a second country of the second de		BROWN	1, C
	1270 NORTH	LAND DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ST PAUL MN	55120			1765	2
					DATE MAILED:	
						09/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/437,006

Applicant(s)

Zheng et al.

Examiner

Charlotte Brown

Group Art Unit 1765



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 9, 1999							
☐ This action is FINAL .							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3_ month(s), or longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for responding application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ponse will cause the						
Disposition of Claim							
X Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are pending in the applicat						
Of the above, claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
X Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are rejected.						
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claims are subject to re	estriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved dia	en _ ·						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES							

Application/Control Number: 09/437,006 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimbergen et al. (US 6,081,334) in view of Witek et al. (US 5,627,395).

Grimbergen discloses an endpoint detection system for etching semiconductor layers. A substrate comprises a silicon wafer. A thin silicon oxide (gate oxide) layer is formed over the substrate. A polysilicon layer, a gate electrode layer, is deposited over the gate oxide layer. A titanium nitride antireflective layer is deposited over the gate electrode layer. A photoresist layer is formed over the antireflective layer (Column 5, lines 51-67). A substrate processing method is disclosed that etches a polysilicon overlayer on a gate oxide without etching or damaging the underlayer. Suitable etchant gas include HCl, HBr, Cl₂, O₂, He and mixtures thereof. The polysilicon layer can be etched in more than one etching step with the etching gas composition being changed during each etching step in order to stop the etching process without etching through the oxide underlayer on the substrate. The main etch stage was stopped by an endpoint detection system immediately before the polysilicon layer was completely etched through. An overetch stage was performed to etch through the residual portion of the polysilicon layer. In the

Application/Control Number: 09/437,006 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

main etch stage, the polysilicon layer was plasma etched using an etchant gas comprising Cl_2 , HBr, and He-O₂ (Column 18, lines 14-40).

Unlike the claimed invention Grimbergen does not disclose a method in which a second plasma etch is performed that includes HBr and nitrogen.

Witek discloses a method for forming a vertically raised transistor. A substrate for semiconductor processing is provided. A dielectric layer is formed over the substrate. The dielectric layer is preferably a TEOS based oxide or a silicon dioxide layer. A conductive layer is deposited over the dielectric layer. The conductive layer is preferably a polysilicon layer. A mask layer is deposited over the conductive layer (Column 4, lines 18-65). A isotropic etch step is used to laterally recess the sidewalls of the conductive layer (Figure 2). This reads on the applicant's limitation of selectively etching the device layer to form a pillar structure. An isotropic second etch step is also used to laterally recess the sidewalls of the conductive layer. Polysilicon may be etched using an HBr/CL₂ plasma or a CF₄/oxygen environment. The plasma environments may contain one or more of the inert carrier gases such as Ar, H₂, He, N₂, or a like inert carrier gas (Column 5, lines 24-33).

It is the Examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify Grimbergen by adding nitrogen to the second etch chemistry since Grimbergen uses a HBr/Cl₂ plasma in the second etching step used to etch the device layer and the addition of nitrogen ,a carrier gas, to the plasma environment as taught by Witek would have been anticipated in order to produce an expected result.

Application/Control Number: 09/437,006

Art Unit: 1765

3. Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimbergen

Page 4

et al. (US 6,081,334) and Witek et al. (US 5,627,395) as applied to claims 1-7 above, and further

in view of Witek et al. (US 5,627,395).

Witek differs from the claimed invention by failing to specify the recited processing

parameters (i.e. an etch chemistry including less than ten percent of nitrogen) but it is the

Examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed

invention would have found it obvious to modify Witek by attempting to optimize same by

conducting routine experimentation.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. (Huang et al. US 5,837,428)

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to

Charlotte A. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-0727.

CAB

September 19, 2000

min BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700